



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/574,153

03/29/2006

Wolfgang von Deyn

13779-61

2172

45473 7590 12/05/2008  
BRINKS, HOFER, GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 1340  
MORRISVILLE, NC 27560

EXAMINER

LOEWE, SUN JAE Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/05/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                    |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/574,153 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>DEYN ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>SUN JAE Y. LOEWE  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1626            |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SUN JAE Y. LOEWE. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) BRYAN SKELTON. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: na.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed 103 rejection and affidavit submitted on June 2, 2008. Resolution was not reached. Agreed to reconsider affidavit and further remarks upon submission of after final response with respect to the outstanding 103 rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| /Kamal A Saeed/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626 |  |
|--|--|